

Energy Company Obligation (ECO) consultation: Updating Deemed Scores for ECO3 Questions



Background

The questions below relate to the consultation seeking views on our approach to updating the deemed scores for ECO3, should it be introduced as set out in the Government consultation. The consultation can be found on our website.

This consultation is open for six weeks from 4 April to 16 May 2018.

Notes For Completion

Please complete all relevant sections of the document by selecting an answer for the question and then providing reasons/evidence for your response in the box provided. The questionnaire should be completed in typeface and returned via email to eco.consultation@ofgem.gov.uk by **close of business on Wednesday 16th May 2018**.

1. Respondent Details

Organisation Name:	Green Home Systems Limited
Organisation type:	Installer
Completed By:	Steven Easton, Managing Director
Contact Details:	steven@greenhomesystems.co.uk 01563 852 399

1. Updates related to RdSAP and Fuel Prices

Q1. Do you agree with our proposal to apply the RdSAP v9.93 updates across all wall types which currently use a pre-installation U-value of 2.1 W/m²K?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer and include as much detail and evidence as possible.

This does not accurately reflect all solid wall types, specifically older stone built properties where 2.1 U-value is more appropriate. The practical effect of this will be that less measures will be completed on this property type.

Q2. Do you agree with our proposal to use the most up to date fuel prices available from the Product Characteristic Database (PCDB) for the deemed scores throughout ECO3?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer and include as much detail and evidence as possible.



2. Proposed Alternative to Percentage of Property Treated

Q3. Do you agree with our proposed approach to removing POPT for the majority of measures by identifying average treatable areas and adjusting the scores accordingly?

- ☒ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable provide an alternative approach including as much detail and evidence as possible.

This will simply the reporting aspect of the scheme. Although there should be a re-consideration in respect of scoring properties with a POPT of less than 66% as they will be unfairly penalised by way of a double discount.

Q4. Do you agree with our use of English Housing Survey data to identify average treatable areas for SWI, CWI, loft insulation, flat roof insulation and underfloor insulation?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable suggest an alternative source of data with justification including as much detail and evidence as possible.

Q5. Do you agree with our use of English Follow up Survey data to identify average treatable areas for heating measures?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable suggest an alternative source of data with justification including as much detail and evidence as possible.

Q6. Do you agree with our use of Ofgem data and industry opinion to identify average treatable areas for RIRI and park home insulation measures?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable an alternative approach with justification including as much detail and evidence as possible.

Q7. Do you agree with our proposed approach for measures for which there is insufficient data available to identify treatable areas?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable suggest an alternative source of data with justification including as much detail and evidence as possible.

Q8. Do you agree with our minimum requirement that at least 67% of the property is treated in order to qualify for the full ECO3 deemed score?

- ☒ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable an alternative approach including as much detail and evidence as possible.

Q9. Do you agree with our proposed approach of using POPT to score measures which do not meet the 67% minimum requirement?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☒ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable an alternative approach including as much detail and evidence as possible.

In principal this is a good idea, but the current method results in a double discounted score; and so a more accurate scoring method must be used.

3. Updates to the format of deemed scores

Q10. Do you agree with our proposed format for deemed scores?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable alternative suggestions with justification including as much detail and evidence as possible.

4. Updates to Room-in-Roof Insulation Scores

Q11. Do you agree with our proposal to update the assumed size of the floor area of the room-in-roof used to develop the RIRI score?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable please suggest an alternative approach including as much detail and evidence as possible.

This does not accurately reflect the significant number of older properties with RIRI installed which tends to have a larger portion of floor area.

Q12. Do you agree with our proposal relating to the assumed levels of insulation in the elements of the room-in-roof used to develop the RIRI score?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☒ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, and if applicable an alternative approach including as much detail and evidence as possible.

This does not accurately reflect the number of properties that get RIRI installed that were built prior to 1965. We have analysed properties we have installed RIRI over the last year and over 70% were built before 1965; and 94% before 1976. Only 6% of properties were built after 1976; and none after 1999. The weighted average should be amended to reflect this, otherwise the deemed score will not accurately reflect the savings.

Pre1919 = 18%
1919-1929 = 2%
1930-1949 = 8%

1950-1964 = 43%

1965-1975 = 23%

1976-1983 = 4%

1984-1991 = 1%

1992-1998 = 1%

1999+ = 0%

5. Updates to scores for heating measures

Q13. With regard to upgrades for inefficient mains-gas and LPG boilers, do you agree with the assumptions we have used to identify the pre-installation efficiency for non-condensing boilers?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, including as much detail and evidence as possible.

Q14. Ofgem are responsible for determining what constitutes a similar efficiency rating to non-condensing boilers and for electric storage heating with a responsiveness rating of 0.2 or less. We are in the initial stages of developing our position on this area and we welcome views from stakeholders. In responding you may have regard to the following non-exhaustive examples of issues to consider;

- (i) A methodology for determining this rating for each heating type
- (ii) Data sources that we could use

Please provide reasons for your answer, including as much detail and evidence as possible.

6. Updates to scores for Park Home insulation measures

Q15. Do you agree with the proposed update to the park home insulation deemed scores?

- ☐ Strongly Agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☒ Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly Disagree
- ☐ N/A

Please provide reasons for your answer, including as much detail and evidence as possible.

7. Invitation to Provide General Comments

Q16. We are also interested in high-level and material issues which are relevant to and likely to have a substantive impact on our approach to improving deemed scores for ECO3, for example, you may have views on:

- (i) How could we streamline our administrative processes to further the main objectives of the deemed scores;
- (ii) How could we amend the underlying assumptions or methodology to improve the deemed scores.

Please provide as much evidence and detail as possible in your response.